

In the District Court of the United States
for the District of Columbia

In Re: McNeil and Ellis
Prefiling Injunction, et al

v.

Ellis, et al

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

18-MC-00011-CR **RECEIVED**

MAR 15 2017

Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy
Courts for the District of Columbia

**Respondents’
Objection to Amending FRIVOLOUS Pre-filing Injunction
And MOTION TO DISSOLVE INJUNCTION**

With amiable mien, belied by bold lawlessness,¹ Judge Cooper decided on March 1, 2008 to amend his pre-filing injunction, despite his inadvertent admission that he had not been harassed in any manner by Respondents’ suit against him, that he was so “unharrassed” he had no idea of the procedural posture of the case in which he is a party Defendant, (*McNeil v. Harvey, Cooper, et al*), and despite literally giving Respondents his full approval to continue prosecuting litigation against him:

“[F]rankly, I think suing judges who rule against you is an abuse of the system, but you can continue before Judge Kelly, you can continue before Judge Contreras, and if you can convince them that you have a valid claim against me or any other judges, knock yourselves out. Okay?” March 1, 2018, Hearing Transcript, Pg. 27, line 7.

Since Mr. Cooper knowingly enjoined Respondents in violation of every Circuit precedent controlling issuance of prefiling injunctions,² based purely on the number of victims they helped

¹ Judge Cooper correctly sized up his adversaries on March 1. Reasonable, resourceful, relentless men, who only want justice, not the Kafkaesque parody of justice he’s meted out.

² Mr. Cooper issued his injunction without analyzing the content of Respondents cases, after fabricating and attributing to them relief they did not seek, after failing to show EVIDENCE that he or any government attorney or agency has been harassed by their filing of respectful lawsuits, after failing to

file suits to stop the rape of their rights by the IRS/DoJ record falsification machine, Mr. Cooper has demonstrated, sadly, that he has “lost his way”.

With all due respect to his bench, Mr. Cooper appears insensitive to the fact he is playing with lives, he has thrown sand in the gears of his court to obstruct justice, and he is destroying due process of law to prolong the underlying IRS record falsification program upon which he knows the income tax exaction is based.

Argument

Judge Christopher R. Cooper knows his pre-filing injunction is the very definition of frivolous, that he imposed it strictly to terminate Respondents’ right to complain, or help others complain, in a U.S. court of the most gigantic fraud perpetrated in the name of the United States Government since the infamous Dred Scott decision of 1857.

The decision in that case began with the proposition that federal courts did not have jurisdiction to hear Scott's case because he was not a citizen of the State of Missouri. In Class cases, Judge Cooper obstructed his own jurisdiction, fabricating and attributing to Class litigants relief they never sought, (to supposedly enjoin IRS from preparing substitute income tax return, when he knows his victims instead complain IRS never prepares substitute income tax returns on any date shown in IRS’ systematically falsified records), to bring their cases within the ambit of Anti-Injunction Act prohibitions. He knows the AIA does NOT bar suits seeking to adjudicate IRS’ FAILURE to prepare substitute income tax returns.

show EVIDENCE Respondents *intended* to harass anyone, after failing to note no class case was ever dismissed as meritless, (even six dismissed by himself!), after basing his injunction on the mere number of cases they filed, not one of which was ever addressed on their merits, and issued his sanction to terminate Respondents right to access meaningful relief from the underlying IRS record falsification program he is defending and prolonging. It doesn’t matter the attorneys in the Circuit agree with such fraud and abuse of their victims’ rights to due process. See the usual bald, reason-free Circuit Order, 17-5191, March 14, 2018.

As bad as his decision was, at least Chief Justice Taney did not falsify the record of *Scott v. Sandford*, 60 U.S. 393, arguably the worst decision in the history of the Supreme Court. Frederick Douglass correctly prophesied that such ruling would not end as Mr. Taney envisioned:

“The highest authority has spoken. The voice of the Supreme Court has gone out over the troubled waves of the National Conscience ... [But] my hopes were never brighter than now. I have no fear that the National Conscience will be put to sleep by such an open, glaring, and scandalous tissue of lies ...”

Mr. Taney fomented the Civil War.

Mr. Cooper knows that his fabrication of a scandalous tissue of lies to avoid adjudicating Class cases on their merits and to support his pre-filing injunction, will never allow his conscience to rest. It is unarguably equal to the worst decision he will ever issue. And to what end?

He knows Respondents sued him to compel him to cease throwing sand in the gears of his court, and to simply rule on the ACTUAL merits of Class cases. If that bespeaks to him an effort to harass him, Mr. Cooper has turned justice into bitterness, (Amos 5:7), the judiciary into a joke.


Relief Requested


Since the injunction has no merit and will have no desired impact, Respondents move Judge Cooper to dissolve his injunction entirely, rather than amend it. If he does so, he will be obeying the ageless admonition to judges “render in your court true judgments that make for peace”,³ for himself, and for his victims.

Directly, you know we have no interest in abusing the judicial system; we want it to work for all, just as it finally did for Mr. Scott, per Mr. Douglass’ prophecy, *contra* Taney. You know we are respectful of the judiciary and LOVE the Rule of Law. You know we will overcome judicial obstinance...eventually, as did the persistent Mr. Scott. Help us, please. Dissolve the injunction.

³ Zechariah 8:16


As always, respectfully presented,



Michael B. Ellis


Robert A. McNeil

Verification/Declaration

Comes now Michael Ellis and Robert McNeil declaring under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the facts stated in the foregoing **“Respondent’s Objection to Amendment of Pre-Filing Injunction...”** are absolutely true and correct, to the very best of his knowledge and belief, SO HELP ME GOD.


Robert A. McNeil


Michael B. Ellis

CERTIFICATE of SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the forgoing "**Objection to Amendment of Prefiling Injunction and Motion to Dissolve...**" was served via United States Mail on or about 15 March 2018, to the following:


Mr. Jeff Sessions
United States Attorney General
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20530

Mr. David Kautter
Acting Commissioner, IRS
Attn: Office of Procedure and Administration
1111 Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, D.C. 20224

Ms. Jessie K. Liu
U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia
Attn: Civi. Process Clerk
555 Fourth Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20530

Mr. Richard E. Zuckerman
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Tax Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20530

Mr. Ryan J. McMonagle
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 227, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044


Robert A. McNeil